Case 5:94-cv-20512-SW Document 166 Filed 08/02/96 Page 1 of 5 ORIGINAL

	KARL J. KRAMER (No. 136433) JANA G. GOLD (No. 154246)	FILE Propos
2	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 Page Mill Road	Aug 2 11 32 AM '96
3 4	Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 Telephone: (415)813-5600	RICHARD W. WIEKING
5 6 7 8	RAOUL D. KENNEDY (No. 40892) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 345 California Street San Francisco, California 94104-267 Telephone: (415) 677-7000 PATRICK J. FLINN (No. 104423) ALSTON & BIRD	NO. DISTRICT COURT
9· 10	One Atlantic Center 1201 W. Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30306 Telephone: (404)881-7000	/ / /
11 12	Attorneys for Defendants/Counter- Claimants CYLINK CORPORATION, CARO- CORPORATION and STANFORD UNIVERSITY	KANN
13	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	ROGER SCHLAFLY,	No. C-94-20512 SW
17	Plaintiff,	
18	v.	
19	PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS AND RSA DATA SECURITY, INC.,	
20	Defendants,	
21		No. C-96-20094 SW
22	RSA DATA SECURITY, INC.,	NOTICE OF FILING
23	Plaintiff,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DIFFIE-HELLMAN
24	v.	MOTION
25	CYLINK CORPORATION and CARO-KANN CORPORATION, et al.	Date: September 4, 1996 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 4
26	Defendants.	Courtroom: 4 Hon. Spencer Williams
27		
28	NOTICE OF FILING SUPPLEMENAL DECLARATION	•

NOTICE OF FILING SUPPLEMENAL DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMNENT ON DIFFIE-HELIMAN PATENT C-96-20094 SW

Case 5:94-cv-20512-SW Document 166 Filed 08/02/96 Page 2 of 5

1	On July 31, 1996, defendants and counterclaim plaintiffs Cylink		
2	Corporation, Caro-Kann Corporation, and Stanford University		
3	("defendants") filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on the Validity		
4	of the Diffie-Hellman Patent. Dr. Whitfield Diffie, one of the co-		
5	inventors of the patent, was unavailable to sign a declaration in		
6	support of that motion until August 1, 1996.		
7	Defendants respectfully request that the Declaration of		
8	Whitfield Diffie, attached hereto, be considered in support of		
9	defendants Motion.		
10	Dated: August 1, 1996		
11	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP ALSTON & BIRD		
12	1///		
13	By: /////		
14	Karl J. Kramer		
15	Attorneys for Defendants/ Counter-Claimants CYLINK		
16	CORPORATION, CARO-KANN CORPORATION and STANFORD		
17	UNIVERSITY		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
<i>L I</i>			

1

²⁸ NOTICE OF FILING SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMNENT
ON DIFFIE-HELLMAN PATENT
C-96-20094 SW

1 2 3 4 5	KARL J. KRAMER (Bar No. 136433) BRYAN WILSON (No. 138842) JANA G. GOLD (No. 154246) SHERMAN W. KAHN (No. 168924) Morrison & Foerster LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 Telephone: (415) 813-5600 Facsimile: (415) 494-0792	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	RAOUL D. KENNEDY (Bar No. 40892) Morrison & Foerster LLP 345 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104-2675 Telephone: (415) 677-7000 Facsimile: (415) 677-7522 PATRICK J. FLINN (Bar No. 104423) ALSTON & BIRD One Atlantic Center 1201 W. Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30306 Telephone: (404) 881-7000 Facsimile: (404) 881-7777 Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Claimants CYLINK CORPORATION	NO
15 16 17	and CARO-KANN CORPORATION UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	RSA DATA SECURITY, INC., a Delaware Corporation,, Plaintiff, v. CYLINK CORPORATION and CARO-KANN CORPORATION, a California Corporation, and THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY, Defendants.	No. C-96-20094 SW(PVT) DECLARATION OF DR. WHITFIELD DIFFIE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE VALIDITY OF THE DIFFIE-HELLMAN PATENT Date: September 4, 1996 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 4 The Hon. Spencer Williams
27 28	AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS	

Case 5:94-cv-20512-SW Document 166 Filed 08/02/96 Page 4 of 5

- 1 I, Dr. Whitfield Diffie, declare and state:
- 2 1. I am one of the named inventors on United States Patent
- 3 No. 4,200,770 (the "Diffie-Hellman patent"). I am currently
- 4 employed as a Distinguished Engineer at Sun Microsystems in Mountain
- 5 View, California.
- 6 2. In late May or June, 1976, Dr. Hellman and I submitted a
- 7 paper entitled "New Directions in Cryptography" to the IEEE for
- 8 publication in the journal called Transactions on Information
- 9 Theory. At the time we submitted the paper, we were aware that the
- 10 paper would be circulated to a limited number of anonymous
- 11 reviewers, who would be under an obligation to maintain the
- 12 confidentiality of the paper. In approximately August, 1976, we
- 13 revised the paper to incorporate changes suggested by the editors of
- 14 the IEEE journal.
- 15 3. I have reviewed the Declaration of Peter Blatman,
- 16 submitted to the Court by RSADSI in January, 1996. The paper
- 17 attached to Mr. Blatman's declaration is a copy of the August, 1976
- 18 version of the paper. I do not recall sending the paper to
- 19 Mr. Blatman or anyone else in or around August, 1976. However, I do
- 20 recall Mr. Blatman. Mr. Blatman was a graduate student at Berkeley
- 21 in the mid-1970's, and it was through Mr. Blatman that I met Ralph
- 22 Merkle, one of the coinventors of the Diffie-Hellman patent. Mr.
- 23 Blatman and I both participated in a seminar given by Dr. Hellman at
- 24 Stanford University during this period, and would commute from
- 25 Berkeley to Stanford together. I considered Mr. Blatman a fairly
- 26 close colleague at the time, and as such, it would have been my
- 27 practice to share my academic work with him, and to seek his
- 28 comments. It is common practice in academia to circulate such DIFFIE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF 1
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT C-96-20094 SW (PVT)

- 1 papers prior to publication to ensure that any errors can be
- 2 corrected. In my experience, it is widely understood that such
- 3 papers are being sent in confidence. There is no question in my
- 4 mind that Mr. Blatman would have understood that a paper such as the
- 5 August draft of the "New Directions" paper was being sent in
- 6 confidence, and that Mr. Blatman would not have circulated a paper I
- 7 sent him without obtaining permission to do so.
- 8 4. In June, 1976, I attended the National Computer Conference
- 9 in New York City, and gave an oral presentation covering some of the
- 10 material in the "New Directions" paper. I also used slides at the
- 11 National Computer Conference as a visual aid. However, I did not
- 12 pass out copies of the slides. To the best of my recollection, I
- 13 did not hand out or make available any copies of any draft of the
- 14 "New Directions" paper at the National Computer Conference
- 15 presentation.
- 16 5. In July, 1976, I was invited to give an afternoon seminar
- 17 presentation to a small group of mathematicians at the IBM Watson
- 18 Labs in Yorktown Heights, New York. I used slides in giving the
- 19 seminar as a visual aid. However, I did not pass out copies of the
- 20 slides. I discussed the subject matter of the "New Directions"
- 21 paper at the IBM seminar. To the best of my recollection, I did not
- 22 hand out or make available any copies of any draft of the "New
- 23 Directions" paper at the IBM Watson Labs presentation.
- I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true
- 25 and correct. Executed this ___ day of August at Palo Alto,
- 26 California.

27

28

Whitfield Difffe

DIFFIE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT C-96-20094 SW (PVT)